United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,194	03/02/2004	Frank L. Hall	4718.2US (00-0316.02/US)	1948
24247 TRASK BRITT	7590 06/08/200	7	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2550			HEINRICH, SAMUEL M	
SALT LAKE C	CITY, UT 84110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/791,194	HALL, FRANK L.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Samuel M. Heinrich	1725	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond	dence address
THE REPLY FILED 25 May 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE	Ε.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or or places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	ther evidence, which with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
time periods:	
 a)	
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the	e final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REITWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. I under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in a set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	The appropriate extension fee the final Office action: or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Netice of Appeal and of the department of the second linear with 27 OFB 44 27 and the State of the second linear with 27 OFB 44 27 and the State of the second linear with 27 OFB 44 27 and the State of the second linear with 27 OFB 44 27 and the State of the second linear with 27 OFB 44 27 and the State of the second linear with 27 OFB 44 27 and the State of the second linear with 27 OFB 44 27 and the State of the second linear with 27 OFB 44 27 and the State of the second linear with 27 OFB 44 27 and the second linear with 27 OFB 44 27	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid disr a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41. AMENDMENTS	missal of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	entered because
(c) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below), (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or sappeal; and/or	simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claim	ns.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant An	nendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed	I amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	de de la contraction de
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ed and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Apple because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	peal will <u>not</u> be entered evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or all showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR	ppellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is belo REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition See Continuation Sheet.	for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	
13. Other:	J.M. Henrich
	M Heinrich
	Examiner

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: new method claims limitations with respect to supplying an automolding system and use thereof requires further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the new limitations with respect to the automold system and semiconductor location therein require further consideration and/or search.